

TCFD Report

Investment Fund Services

January 2025 – December 2025

IFS's purpose is to make a difference for individual, intermediary and institutional investors

01. Purpose of this report

Introduction from our Co-Chief Executive Officers – Allan Hamer and Martin Ratcliffe

At Investment Fund Services (IFS), we continue to recognise the critical importance of collective action in accelerating the global transition to net zero. Guided by the principles of the Paris Agreement, we remain committed to reducing our environmental impact whilst strengthening our capabilities for identifying, assessing and managing climate-related risks across our operations and investment activities.

Strong governance remains central to how we operate, guiding responsible business practices that create long-term value for our stakeholders and employees, whilst minimising our environmental impact. Over the past year, we have continued to embed Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) considerations across the organisation, and this is reflected in the progress outlined in this 2025 Taskforce on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) report.

The report highlights key developments, our achievements to date and our priorities for the period ahead. It reflects our ongoing commitment to transparency, accountability and continuous improvement as we navigate the evolving climate landscape.

About IFS

IFS operates as an independent Authorised Corporate Director (ACD) and Authorised Fund Manager (AFM), overseeing the day-to-day management of more than 100 funds on behalf of investors. The company collaborates closely with fund sponsors and appointed investment managers to ensure efficient fund operations.

As a regulated entity and wholly owned subsidiary of Marlborough Group Holdings Limited, IFS was responsible for assets under management (AUM) of £19.3 billion as of 31 December 2025.

Please note: all job titles and roles referenced in this report are as at 31 December 2025.

The aim of this report

IFS is committed to the TCFD framework, ensuring transparent reporting on how the company addresses climate risks and opportunities in operations and as an ACD, whilst minimising its environmental impact.

This report outlines IFS's integration of the four TCFD pillars: Governance, Strategy, Risk Management, and Metrics and Targets. It aligns with the Financial Conduct Authority's PS21/24 requirements, ESG sourcebook regulations (chapters 2.1 and 2.2), and our Consumer Duty obligations.

Achieving full compliance with TCFD recommendations remains a complex undertaking given the intricacies of the ACD business model. However, IFS remains steadfast in its commitment to integrating climate considerations across all TCFD pillars, ensuring transparency and alignment with evolving disclosure standards.

Scope

This report outlines IFS's approach to addressing climate change across its operations and the investment funds it oversees as ACD. It provides a clear distinction between IFS's role as an ACD and the underlying investments within its funds, ensuring transparency in climate-related disclosures. It details IFS's efforts to integrate climate considerations into risk and opportunity management and outlines its approach to regulatory compliance.

As a UK-regulated entity within the Marlborough Group, the report is limited to IFS's corporate activities and operations. Detailed information on the climate-related initiatives of individual funds can be accessed via the Marlborough Group (www.marlboroughgroup.com) and IFS (www.ifslfunds.com) websites.

Consistency with TCFD recommended disclosures

IFS is actively progressing towards full alignment with TCFD recommended disclosures. The table below provides an overview of current alignment, areas for improvement and planned actions to enhance disclosure practices.

TCFD recommended disclosure	Current alignment	Implementation status
Governance		
Describe the Board's oversight of climate-related risks and opportunities.	Inconsistent	- Establishing regular discussions at Board level on climate-related risks and opportunities. - The Group Sustainability Committee (SusCo) provides updates on sustainability matters, potential impacts and progress towards related commitments.
Describe management's role in assessing and managing climate-related risks and opportunities.	Partially consistent	- SusCo oversees the organisation's environmental strategy, ensuring effective execution across operations and investments. - SusCo collaborates with the Group Executive Committee to integrate sustainability principles into business practices and escalates material issues for review.
Strategy		
Describe the climate-related risks the organisation has identified over the short, medium and long term.	Consistent	Regularly reviewing and updating identified climate-related risks to maintain relevance and responsiveness.
Describe the impact of climate-related risks and opportunities on the organisation's business, strategy and financial planning.	Partially consistent	Establishing a comprehensive framework to evaluate climate-related risks and opportunities and embedding insights into corporate strategy.

Describe the resilience of the organisation's strategy, taking into consideration different climate-related scenarios, including a 2°C or lower scenario.

Partially consistent

- Enhancing scenario analysis to assess the operational impact under various climate-related conditions.

Risk

Disclose how the organisation identifies, assesses and manages climate-related risks.

Partially consistent

- Integrating climate-related risk management into the existing Enterprise Risk Management Framework (ERMF) to ensure a holistic approach across the organisation.

Describe the organisation's processes for managing climate-related risks.

Partially consistent

- Expanding processes to ensure all identified climate risks are managed in alignment with existing protocols.

Describe how the process for identifying, assessing and managing climate-related risks is integrated into the organisation's overall risk management.

Inconsistent

- Strengthening risk assessment across all areas of the business to comprehensively address climate-related risks.

Metrics and Targets

Disclose the metrics and targets used to assess and manage relevant climate-related risks and opportunities where such information is material.

Partially consistent

- Scope 3 emissions are captured through the new expense portal, which automatically records travel-related data (see p.9 for an explanation of Scopes 1–3).
- Exploring approaches to managing climate-related investment risks and opportunities within the ACD business model.

Disclose the metrics used by the organisation to assess climate-related risks and opportunities in line with its strategy and risk management process.

Partially consistent

- Enhancing frameworks to integrate climate-related risks and opportunities and metrics into business strategy and risk management.
- Assessing investment risk management within the ACD business model.
- Progressing towards ISO 14001 implementation and full certification, with Stage 1 completed and preparations for Stage 2 underway.

Describe the targets used by the organisation to manage climate-related risks and opportunities and performance.

Partially consistent

- Evaluating Scope 3 interim targets.
- Progressing ISO 14001 EMS implementation to strengthen environmental management.
- Measuring, managing and monitoring Scope 1, 2 and 3 emissions, with sustained year-on-year reductions.
- Strengthening formal processes to manage operational opportunities.


02. Governance

Disclose the organisation’s governance framework around climate-related risks and opportunities

This section of the report outlines IFS’s governance framework for managing climate risks and opportunities, highlighting initiatives to integrate climate considerations into business operations.

Describe the board’s oversight of climate-related risks and opportunities

IFS governance structure



The Board’s oversight and activities

The Board holds ultimate responsibility for IFS’s business strategy and risk management, including climate-related risks and opportunities. It ensures alignment between IFS’s values, strategy and operations, embedding climate considerations into decision-making across operations, including its role as ACD.

ESG and climate-related issues escalated by the SusCo are reviewed by the Board to ensure strategic alignment and accountability.

IFS’s Investment Partnership and Oversight Director, Sheldon MacDonald, leads the organisation’s sustainability and ESG strategy, setting climate-related targets and overseeing the initiatives that support them. Dom Clarke, IFS’s Chief Finance Officer (CFO) and a member of SusCo, is responsible for directing investment in systems, processes and technology, and for managing outsourced partnerships to advance climate objectives and embed sustainable business practices across the organisation.

Value Assessment Committee

The Value Assessment Committee (VAC), established by the Board, conducts an annual review of IFS investment funds to assess whether fees and charges are justified as part of the overall value delivered to investors. Findings are published in the Value Assessment Report, providing transparency about fund performance, pricing and service quality to support customers in making informed investment decisions.

The committee applies a structured set of FCA criteria when assessing the value each fund delivers, considering financial and non-financial factors, such as costs, economies of scale, market comparability and service quality. ESG considerations are integrated where applicable. Although IFS has not yet introduced any funds with specific ESG objectives, the committee actively monitors this area and will refine its criteria in response to evolving market conditions and investor expectations when required.

Summary of the Board's oversight of climate-related risk and opportunities

Committee / team	Responsibilities	Working towards
IFS Board		
▪ Chaired by: IFS independent non-executive director ▪ Meeting frequency: 4 times a year ▪ Membership: 8 members, including ESG lead (Sheldon MacDonald), two independent non-executive directors, and one non-executive director	▪ Oversees strategy and risk management, including climate-related risks and opportunities.	▪ Ensuring climate-related risks and opportunities become a regular agenda item at Board and Committee meetings. ▪ Expanding Board training on climate risk identification and management.
Value Assessment Committee		
▪ Chaired by: IFS independent non-executive director. ▪ Meeting frequency: 8 times a year ▪ Membership: 6 members, including two independent non-executive directors, and the IFS Co-CEOs	▪ Determines annually if value has been provided and tracks remedial steps if targeted improvements are required. ▪ Publishes an Assessment of Value summary report for transparency on fund outcomes. ▪ Refines evaluation methods in response to market trends, investor priorities and regulations.	▪ Developing ESG integration within the value assessment framework where applicable, by advancing performance metrics to evaluate both financial returns and sustainability objectives. ▪ Developing governance and oversight capabilities for future sustainability-focused launches, although no such funds were in scope during the 2025 reporting period.

Describe management's role in assessing and managing climate-related risks and opportunities

The Board has delegated responsibility for overseeing daily operations and executing strategy, including climate-related matters, to Co-CEOs Allan Hamer and Martin Ratcliffe. They are supported by the Executive Committee, with Investment Partnership and Oversight Director, Sheldon MacDonald, acting as the climate sponsor.

The SusCo, operating under delegated authority from the Group Executive Committee, was established in 2024 to provide strategic guidance on sustainability matters across IFS's operations, including its responsibilities as an ACD.

Executive Committee (ExCo)

The Executive Committee (ExCo), chaired by the Co-CEOs, oversees IFS's daily operations and supports the Board in executing its long-term strategy to create stakeholder value. It ensures the organisation operates within a robust risk management framework, delivering investor-focused products and services whilst maintaining regulatory and operational integrity.

The ExCo upholds IFS's culture, values and standards across the organisation. ESG training has been fully embedded into the training framework since 2024, ensuring all employees complete mandatory sessions that strengthen sustainability awareness and best practice.

Sustainability Committee

The Sustainability Committee (SusCo) was established by the Group ExCo in 2024 to direct IFS's corporate sustainability strategy. It has quickly become a cornerstone of the Group's governance structure, ensuring effective oversight of operational practices and investment-related initiatives in line with UK regulatory standards.

It is responsible for developing, implementing and overseeing sustainability strategies across the Group, identifying and managing sustainability risks, overseeing sustainability-related policies and addressing the impact of sustainability regulations and reporting obligations.

The committee's core responsibilities include, but are not limited to:

- ▀ Developing and overseeing the Group's environmental operational and investment strategy, subject to approval by Group and entity ExCos/Boards, ensuring alignment with the Group's strategic themes.
- ▀ Establishing appropriate processes and controls to execute the Group's environmental strategy at both operational and investment levels.
- ▀ Providing oversight of the day-to-day management of environmental risks.
- ▀ Developing and overseeing environmental and sustainability-related policies, approved by the Group ExCo.
- ▀ Overseeing existing and new sustainability regulations and managing compliance with sustainability-related reporting obligations.
- ▀ Ensuring staff remain informed of sustainability developments through training initiatives and recruitment as necessary.
- ▀ Monitoring and setting targets for key sustainability metrics, such as emissions reductions.
- ▀ Updating the Board on sustainability matters, including activities that may impact the Group and progress towards sustainability-related commitments and targets.
- ▀ Driving corporate sustainability initiatives across IFS.
- ▀ Considering Consumer Duty outcomes within reporting and management information shared with the ExCo or relevant committees.

Summary of management structures for climate risk assessment and opportunity identification

Committee/team	Responsibilities	Working towards
Executive Committee		
▪ Chaired by: Co-CEOs ▪ Meeting frequency: Quarterly ▪ Membership: 8 members	▪ Oversees the company's daily operations. ▪ Supports the Board in delivering strategic objectives.	▪ Assisting in defining the SusCo's mandate and responsibilities. ▪ Embedding ESG awareness, including climate-related considerations, within company culture.
Group Sustainability Committee		
▪ Chaired by: ESG Lead (Sheldon MacDonald) ▪ Meeting Frequency: Monthly ▪ Membership: 8 members	▪ Manages climate-related risks in daily operations. ▪ Establishes policies and procedures to help IFS achieve sustainability goals. ▪ Delivers IFS's corporate sustainability strategy.	▪ Embedding climate strategies across the organisation. ▪ Developing approaches to address climate risks in underlying funds.

03. Strategy

This section of the report outlines IFS's approach to identifying and managing climate-related risks and opportunities across its operations and investments, detailing actions taken to mitigate risks and enhance opportunities.

Disclose the actual and potential impacts of climate-related risks and opportunities on the organisation's business, strategy and financial planning, where such information is material

In line with TCFD recommendations, IFS identifies two key categories of climate-related risks that could affect financial performance and operational resilience:

1. **Physical risks:** These risks arise from the tangible impacts of climate change.
 - **Acute physical risks:** Short-term climate events like floods, heatwaves, and wildfires.
 - **Chronic physical risks:** Long-term environmental changes, including rising temperatures and sea levels.
2. **Transition risks:** These risks arise from the challenges associated with the global shift towards a low-carbon economy.
 - **Energy transition:** Movement towards renewable energy sources and reduced reliance on fossil fuels.
 - **Regulatory developments:** Evolving policies such as carbon taxes and sustainability reporting requirements.
 - **Technological advances:** Innovations that drive energy efficiency and decarbonisation.
 - **Market shifts:** Changes in consumer preferences, investor expectations and fluctuations in raw material costs

Beyond these risks, IFS recognises several **climate-related opportunities** that support long-term value creation:

- **Resource efficiency:** Reducing emissions and optimising energy use.
- **Alternative energy sources:** Expanding investments in renewables and low-carbon solutions.
- **Sustainable products and services:** Supporting environmentally responsible businesses.
- **Market opportunities:** Identifying high-growth sectors linked to climate resilience.
- **Enhanced resilience:** Strengthening adaptation strategies for long-term sustainability.

Emissions categories

IFS's climate impact spans the following greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions categories:

Term used	Definition
Scope 1 greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions	Direct emissions from owned or controlled sources, such as fuel combustion in company-owned furnaces or vehicles.
Scope 2 greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions	Indirect emissions from the generation of purchased energy, including electricity consumed in company-operated buildings.
Scope 3 greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions	Indirect emissions resulting from activities beyond Scope 1 and Scope 2, produced by sources the company neither owns nor controls. For example, IFS's Scope 3 emissions include those generated by companies held within its investment funds.

Disclose climate-related risks and opportunities across short, medium and long-term horizons

Time horizons

IFS has identified short, medium and long-term climate-related risks and opportunities, defined as follows:

- **Short-term:** Less than 5 years
- **Medium-term:** 5 to 10 years
- **Long-term:** 10 to 20 years

These timeframes differ from IFS's investment horizons and recommended fund holding periods. Instead, they are shaped by regulatory requirements, external ESG data (Clarity AI), internal risk management strategies and projected climate impacts. Recognising that climate challenges extend beyond 20 years, IFS is committed to ongoing adaptation.

Process for identifying climate-related issues

IFS evaluates climate-related risks using a structured framework aligned with TCFD recommendations. For a detailed overview of how these risks are identified, assessed, and managed, please refer to the Risk Management section.

The tables below provide a summary of the climate-related risks and opportunities IFS has identified across short, medium and long-term horizons.

Operational risks and opportunities

Potential short-term climate risks affecting IFS's operations

Risk identified	Category	Impact	Mitigation measures
Energy costs	Transition risk / market	Higher operational costs	- IFS sources 100% renewable electricity through Renewable Energy Guarantees of Origin (REGO) contracts whilst exploring alternative options. - External consultants engaged to optimise energy usage and efficiency. Key 2025 initiatives: - Cut energy costs through subcontracting and occupied space reduction - Completed ISO 14001 stage 1 audit - Upskilled key staff with accredited environmental training - Improved resilience via stronger preventive planned maintenance (PPM) - Increased renewables with REGO supply - Delivered ESG training to raise climate-risk awareness - Expanded recycling with clearer segregation and added capacity - Improved climate-reporting with stronger data and processes
Extreme weather events	Physical risks / acute	- Office / equipment damage - Business disruption - Higher insurance costs	- Annual review of Business Continuity & Disaster Recovery Plan. - Emergency Preparedness plans. - Home working policy ensures staff can work remotely.
Regulatory changes (e.g., greenwashing, SDR, carbon credits)	Transition risks / policy & legal	- Compliance risks - Higher operational costs	Ongoing monitoring of policy and regulatory developments, including mandatory reporting obligations and carbon credit requirements.
Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions controls	Transition risks / policy & legal	- Increased operational requirements - Compliance & reputational risks - Stranded assets / devaluation	- Carbon footprint assessment established. - Net zero by 2050 target (previously 2040). - IFS sources 100% renewable electricity through REGO contracts whilst considering further solutions. - Regulatory and mandatory reporting under Streamlined Energy and Carbon Reporting (SECR) and Energy Saving Opportunity Scheme (ESOS).
Brand reputation (e.g., failure in ESG leadership, reporting or transition targets)	Transition risks / reputational	- Compliance risks - Loss of market share	- ESG Lead appointed: Sheldon MacDonald (Investment Partnership & Oversight Director & Board member). - Net zero by 2050 target (previously 2040). - Established SusCo in 2024 to oversee Group climate and sustainability initiatives. - Compliance and SusCo actively monitor reporting developments. - Formed Sustainability Champions and a formal working group in 2024 to drive progress.

Potential short-term climate-related opportunities for IFS's operations

Opportunity identified	Category	Impact	Steps to develop opportunity
Enhancement of IFS's transition plan	Resilience	▀ Lower energy costs ▀ Increased revenue	▀ 100% renewable electricity through REGO contracts. ▀ Collaborating with CCC Energy to evaluate residual emissions and identify further energy-saving measures. ▀ Partnering with Clarity AI to evaluate financed (Scope 3) emissions from investments made in funds on behalf of clients, ensuring comprehensive environmental impact assessment. ▀ Appointed an ESG consultant to support transition plan implementation and reporting. ▀ Mandatory ESG training for all employees. ▀ Providing staff training on climate-related risks and opportunities and IFS's strategic direction. ▀ Formation of Group Sustainability Champions who actively promote sustainability across the organisation. ▀ Future initiatives include ISO 14001 accreditation after completion of stage 2.
Advancing reporting standards	Resilience	▀ Revenue growth from best-practice transparency	▀ Enhancing mandatory reporting to improve transparency. ▀ Developing non-mandatory reporting to engage stakeholders. ▀ SusCo monitors reporting developments and establishes best-practice criteria. ▀ Strengthening emissions tracking for improved reporting accuracy.
Expanding internal sustainability expertise	Resilience	▀ Stronger climate strategy and operational sustainability	▀ Active Sustainability Champions across all locations. ▀ Partnering with ESG consultants and external specialists for informed advice and support, ensuring effective sustainability practices across IFS's operations. ▀ Ongoing staff training to build climate expertise.

Potential medium-term climate risks affecting IFS's operations

Risk identified	Category	Impact	Mitigation measures
Extreme weather – increasing frequency	Physical risks / acute	- Property damage - Travel & business disruption - Supply chain risks - Higher insurance costs	- EMS emergency preparedness plans. - Offices are situated in low flood-risk areas. - Climate risk assessments embedded in office location due diligence. - Facilities Coordinator advancing expertise through environmental management certification. - Collaboration with third-party suppliers to align climate strategies.
Insurance risks	Transition risks / policy & legal	- Rising insurance costs - Insurance payout ineligibility for inadequate property maintenance	- Annual assessment and renewal of insurance arrangements. - Scheduled preventative maintenance using specialist contractors. - Ongoing financial stability monitoring of insurance providers.
Regulatory changes & climate requirements	Transition risks / policy & legal	- Non-compliance risk - Reputational damage - Increased costs	- SusCo / ExCo review climate updates and report to the Board. - Monitoring FCA-led initiatives (e.g., Sustainability Disclosure Requirements (SDR) evolution) and global regulatory exposures.
Scope 3 GHG emission controls	Transition risks / policy & legal	- Operational constraints - Compliance & reputational risks - Increased costs - Stranded assets / forced sales / devaluation of AUM	- Business travel review in progress. - Hybrid working model enables staff to work remotely two days a week. - Cycle-to-work scheme offered to employees. - Enhanced IT facilities support virtual meetings to minimise travel. - Exploring ways to partner with suppliers to monitor emissions and refine strategies. - Expense system that tracks and reports scope 3 associated expenses.
Talent retention and development	Transition risks / reputational	- Loss of talent - Cultural shifts - Increased recruitment costs - Business disruption	- Sustainability Champions actively promote sustainability and, alongside SusCo, help ensure staff remain engaged. - Embedding ESG principles in talent development. - Raising climate awareness and minimising travel.

Potential medium-term climate-related opportunities for IFS's operations

Opportunity identified	Category	Impact	Steps to develop opportunity
Leveraging third-party ESG expertise	Markets / revenue growth	▀ Innovation in emissions reduction ▀ Strengthened climate strategy	▀ Active supplier engagement and the sharing of ideas with contractors and third-party building services providers. ▀ Sharing best practices with leased and serviced assets.
Enhancing energy efficiency	Resource efficiency	▀ Lower energy consumption ▀ Reduced GHG emissions ▀ Cost savings	▀ Expanding renewable energy sourcing and remote work solutions. ▀ Increasing online meetings to reduce travel. ▀ Developing climate-focused office plans.

Potential long-term climate risks affecting IFS's operations

Risk identified	Category	Impact	Steps taken to mitigate risk
Achieving corporate sustainability targets	Transition risks / reputation	▀ Limited Scope 3 emissions support, hindering 2050 net-zero goal ▀ Non-compliance risks & fines. ▀ Reputational damage ▀ Outdated ESG products from investment managers and fund sponsors	▀ Staff training and engagement, including "lunch & learn" sessions. ▀ ESG being a Board priority. ▀ SusCo and Sustainability Champions support sustainability communication across the Group. ▀ Ongoing collaboration with an ESG consultant to refine sustainability efforts. ▀ Developing investment manager engagement to assess long-term climate strategies.
Rising average global temperatures	Physical risks / chronic	▀ Office impact & expansion risks ▀ Increased heating/cooling costs ▀ Power outage risks	▀ IFS sources 100% renewable electricity through REGO Contracts. ▀ Energy efficiency programme implemented to reduce consumption. ▀ Incorporating climate risks in decisions on refurbishment, relocation and acquisitions.

Potential long-term climate-related opportunities for IFS's operations

Opportunity identified	Category	Impact	Steps to develop opportunity
New ESG / sustainability funds	Markets / revenue growth	▀ Maintains product relevance ▀ Reduces financed emissions intensity	▀ Collaborating with new and existing investment managers to develop climate-focused investment solutions and sustainable investment strategies.

Investment risk and opportunities

IFS's primary climate exposure arises from investments managed by appointed investment managers on behalf of fund sponsors, representing its most significant impact as an ACD.

IFS's appointed investment managers implement their own investment processes, with most funds having no specific objectives or mechanisms to address climate-related risks and opportunities.

The IFS Fund Risk Team ensures robust investment risk oversight through daily monitoring of restrictions, stress and scenario testing, and liquidity risk management. While IFS does not currently act as ACD for any FCA sustainability-labelled funds, for strategies that incorporate ESG considerations into decision-making, the team verifies alignment with these stated considerations, such as external ESG rating targets and sector-based restrictions.

Potential short-term climate risks affecting IFS's investment exposures

Risk identified	Category	Impact	Mitigation measures
Energy costs	Transition risks / market	- Higher costs for underlying investments in IFS ACD-managed funds - Devaluation in AUM for vulnerable assets	- IFS is developing risk-monitoring approaches through fund sponsors and investment managers, aligned with the ACD business model. - Investment specialists have access to Clarity AI's data, including climate value at risk (CVaR) scenarios, enabling them to assess climate risks and potential investment impacts.
Extreme weather	Physical risks / acute	Business disruption resulting in AUM devaluation	- IFS is developing risk-monitoring approaches through fund sponsors and investment managers, aligned with the ACD business model. - Investment specialists have access to Clarity AI's data, including CVaR scenarios, enabling them to assess climate risks and potential investment impacts.
Regulatory changes (e.g. greenwashing, carbon credits, emissions policies)	Transition risks / policy & legal	- Increased costs for investments - Devaluation of securities in high-emission industries - Increased portfolio turnover - Stranded assets	- IFS is developing risk-monitoring approaches through fund sponsors and investment managers, aligned with the ACD business model. - Investment specialists have access to Clarity AI's data, including CVaR scenarios, enabling them to assess climate risks and potential investment impacts.
Reputational risk (e.g., exposure to high-emitting companies or poor sustainability practices)	Transition risks / market	- Decreased valuations of securities - Increased portfolio turnover - Stranded assets	- IFS is developing risk-monitoring approaches through fund sponsors and investment managers, aligned with the ACD business model. - Investment specialists have access to Clarity AI's data, including CVaR scenarios, enabling them to assess climate risks and potential investment impacts.

Potential medium-term climate risks affecting IFS's investment exposures

Risk identified	Category	Impact	Mitigation measures
Extreme weather – increasing frequency	Physical risks / acute	- Increased damage and maintenance costs - Higher insurance premiums - Business disruption affecting asset valuations - AUM devaluation	- IFS is developing risk-monitoring approaches through fund sponsors and investment managers, aligned with the ACD business model. - Investment specialists have access to Clarity AI's data, including CVaR scenarios, enabling them to assess climate risks and potential investment impacts.
Regulatory changes (e.g. GHG emissions policies)	Transition risks / policy & legal	- Compliance risks affecting investment viability - Increased operational costs - Declining valuations of carbon-intensive assets - Competitiveness disadvantage in sustainable markets	- IFS is developing risk-monitoring approaches through fund sponsors and investment managers, aligned with the ACD business model. - Investment specialists have access to Clarity AI's data, including CVaR scenarios, enabling them to assess climate risks and potential investment impacts.
Oversight of third-party investment processes	Transition risks / markets	- Increased due diligence demands for IFS as an ACD with responsibility for fund-of-fund products. - Limited visibility into third-party fund management - Exposure to fund managers with weak ESG alignment	IFS is integrating climate considerations into its due diligence framework and strengthening ESG oversight in collaboration with fund sponsors and third-party managers.

Potential medium-term climate opportunities for IFS's investment exposures

Opportunity identified	Category	Impact	Steps to develop opportunity
New ESG / sustainability funds	Markets / revenue growth	- Expansion of climate-focused investments - Increased revenue via product relevance - Strengthened competitiveness in sustainable finance - Reduction in financed emissions intensity	IFS continues to support fund sponsors and investment managers in ESG fund development, including alignment with climate-conscious investment strategies.

Potential long-term climate risks affecting IFS's investment exposures

Risk identified	Category	Impact	Mitigation measures
Climate-related resilience	Transition risks / reputation	- Increased costs from weak climate strategy - Falling asset valuations due to outdated business models	- IFS is developing risk-monitoring approaches through fund sponsors and investment managers, aligned with the ACD business model. - Investment specialists have access to Clarity AI's data, including CVaR scenarios, enabling them to assess climate risks and potential investment impacts.
Extreme weather	Physical risks / acute	Business disruption leading to AUM devaluation	- IFS is developing risk-monitoring approaches through fund sponsors and investment managers, aligned with the ACD business model. - Investment specialists have access to Clarity AI's data, including CVaR scenarios, enabling them to assess climate risks and potential investment impacts.

Describe the impact of climate-related risks and opportunities on the organisation's businesses, strategy and financial planning

Operational exposures

IFS is actively implementing sustainable initiatives to minimise its carbon footprint. IFS sources 100% renewable electricity through REGO contracts and utilises Biogas contracts for its remaining gas boilers.

Initially set for 2040, the net zero target was revised to 2050 following a 2024 reassessment, which highlighted challenges due to IFS's ACD business model and its limited autonomy over investment decisions.

Further details on these measures are provided in the Metrics and Targets section.

Physical risks

▀ Acute physical risks

IFS's geographically diversified office locations mitigate short-term exposure to acute physical risks, as the likelihood of extreme weather events remains low. However, recognising the potential for operational disruptions caused by severe weather, IFS has ensured employees have access to remote work capabilities, reinforcing business continuity.

▀ Chronic physical risks

Whilst IFS has yet to formalise a strategy for managing chronic physical risks, such as rising temperatures and sea levels, the organisation remains vigilant and prepared to respond promptly to mitigate severe operational disruptions.

To date, IFS has not incurred significant costs directly attributable to climate change, with insurance premiums remaining stable and standard flooding excess levels maintained. However, the following cost increases have been identified and are expected to persist in the short to medium term as IFS integrates climate considerations into its operations:

- ▀ Expansion of resources and expertise to advance climate initiatives.
- ▀ Fees for external providers monitoring emissions and climate-related metrics across operations and ACD activities.
- ▀ Electricity costs have fluctuated during the transition to renewable energy, through the procurement of REGO-backed electricity contracts. However, our long-term strategy includes expanding on-site renewable generation, which is expected to reduce exposure to market volatility and support more stable energy costs over time.

IFS has incorporated certain climate-related expenses into its financial planning framework and is actively developing strategies to optimise resource allocation and enhance budgeting efficiency.

Transition risk

▀ Policy and legal

IFS closely monitors regulatory and legal developments in climate policy through its Group SusCo, ensuring compliance and strategic alignment. Climate risk awareness is embedded into daily operations, reinforcing IFS's commitment to net zero emissions by 2050 (revised from 2040).

▀ Technology

Through its ongoing partnership with Clarity AI, an ESG data provider, IFS measures emissions associated with its fund holdings, supporting Scope 3 emissions tracking.

▀ Market

IFS acknowledges the financial impact of evolving consumer preferences and climate-related risks on investment strategies. It remains conscious of potential exposure to stranded assets and is exploring ways to formalise the monitoring of these exposures and engage with third-party managers. Additionally, it is assessing how climate-driven fluctuations in raw material costs affect its operations and investment decisions.

▀ Reputation

IFS collaborates with fund sponsors on investment strategies but does not control individual fund policies or daily investment decisions, which remain the responsibility of appointed investment managers. To mitigate reputational risk, IFS works closely with sponsors to ensure strategies reflect market trends and stakeholder expectations.

As a product manufacturer and ACD, IFS shares responsibility for defining investment policies, objectives and high-level strategies with fund sponsors. To maintain credibility, it ensures alignment with regulatory and ethical standards.

To prevent reputational risks from inadequate oversight, IFS conducts regular reviews of appointed investment managers and monitors fund portfolios through its Fund Risk Team, ensuring compliance with regulations and fund objectives outlined in their prospectuses on www.ifslfunds.com.

Whilst IFS does not influence daily fund transactions, it collaborates with investment managers and fund sponsors with regards to sustainable investments, ensuring responsiveness to shifting consumer preferences.

IFS is refining its net zero strategy, aiming to align with a public initiative that reflects its independent ACD business model, supporting carbon footprint reduction, adoption of best practices and transparency through regular reporting.

Additionally, the Sustainability Champions initiative aims to foster employee engagement and help implement climate solutions.

▀ Investment exposures

As an ACD, IFS does not directly manage underlying investments or participate in daily investment decisions, meaning no specific climate-related targets have been set at the fund level. However, IFS recognises that material emissions primarily arise from client investments managed by appointed investment managers and fund sponsors (*see the Metrics and Targets section of this report*).

To address this, IFS is assessing fund emissions monitoring using Clarity AI data and exploring collaboration with fund sponsors and investment managers to strengthen oversight of climate-related risks and opportunities.

Describe the resilience of the organisation's strategy, considering different climate-related scenarios, including a 2°C or lower scenario

IFS continues to develop its scenario analysis to assess climate-related risks, strengthen operational resilience and guide long-term investment strategies. This analysis also highlights how different climate pathways may shape risk exposure and investment opportunities, including those linked to technological innovation and the transition to a low-carbon economy.

Scenario analysis is structured around three climate pathways - a net-zero transition, a net-zero transition with financial disruption, and a high-warming scenario. These scenarios are hypothetical constructs designed to illustrate how different climate futures could influence fund performance, rather than predict outcomes.

Using data from Clarity AI's climate scenario analysis model, IFS evaluates fund performance across the three pathways, considering exposure to physical and transition risks, technological advances, potential sentiment shocks and financial implications.

Sentiment shocks capture the possibility of abrupt market repricing if investors suddenly reassess the severity or immediacy of climate impacts. Transition risks are expected to challenge all industries over time, particularly carbon-intensive sectors, as regulatory frameworks evolve. The transition may also create opportunities for funds with exposure to companies developing mitigation and adaptation technologies, which benefit relative returns as climate solutions scale.

IFS considers the financial impacts across the three climate pathways outlined in the table below, and how physical, transition and sentiment shock risks may influence investment outcomes.

Climate Scenario Pathways

Climate scenario pathway	Description	Assumed temperature rise by 2100	Key risks considered
Achieving a net zero economy	- Assesses policy changes, regulatory measures, and associated costs for an orderly transition to net zero by 2050. - Evaluates financial risks and opportunities associated with decarbonisation.	1.5°C	Acute and chronic physical risks, transition risks (excluding sentiment shocks)
Net zero, but with a financial crisis	- Explores effects of a disorderly transition, where delayed policy action leads to sudden market adjustments. - Aggressive corrective measures lead to financial disruption.	1.5°C	Acute and chronic physical risks, transition risks
High warming	- Examines risks from insufficient emissions reductions, leading to severe temperature rises. - Assumes no further climate policies post-COVID-19, resulting in a 'hothouse world' scenario.	3.7°C *	Acute and chronic physical risks (excluding sentiment shocks due to lack of transition policies)

* Temperature assumption adjusted by Clarity AI from 4.3°C to 3.7°C in line with the IPCC high-emissions scenario (SSP3-RCP7.0).

Potential climate impact assessment on IFS fund aggregate returns

IFS considers climate-related investment exposures for each of the three climate scenarios over 5, 10 and 20-year horizons, from an end-2024 baseline, on fund holdings as of end December 2025.

This analysis focuses exclusively on the climate impacts, described above, independent of broader market conditions, with data sourced from Clarity AI reflecting end-2025 scenario modelling.

Short-term impact (5 years)

Scenario	Net zero	Net zero financial crisis	High warming
Total impact on returns (%)	0.56%	-10.49%	-4.79%
Transition risk	1.07%	0.09%	0.19%
Physical – acute	-0.26%	-0.68%	-1.54%
Physical – chronic	-0.26%	0.58%	-3.43%
Sentiment shock	-	-10.47%	-

The net-zero scenario shows a small positive impact (+0.56%), supported by modest transition-driven gains. The financial-crisis variant results in a sharp fall (-10.49%) due to the assumed sentiment-driven market shock. High warming leads to moderate losses (-4.79%) as physical risks, particularly chronic impacts, begin to intensify.

Medium-term impact (10 years)

Scenario	Net zero	Net zero financial crisis	High warming
Total impact on returns (%)	0.74%	-10.98%	-8.17%
Transition risk	1.75%	0.83%	0.42%
Physical – acute	-0.46%	-0.83%	-2.50%
Physical – chronic	-0.55%	0.28%	-6.09%
Sentiment shock	-	-11.26%	-

The net-zero scenario (+0.74%) remains resilient, with transition benefits (+1.75%) offsetting rising physical risks. The net-zero financial-crisis scenario shows a deeper decline (-10.98%), driven primarily by a prolonged sentiment-driven market shock that suppresses returns despite limited transition or physical impacts. The high-warming scenario (-8.17%) shows mounting financial pressure, driven mainly by chronic physical impacts (-6.09%) as climate-related damage accumulates over the decade.

Long-Term Impact (20 years)

Scenario	Net zero	Net zero financial crisis	High warming
Total impact on returns (%)	-1.54%	-12.78%	-43.85%
Transition risk	-0.05%	-0.56%	0.48%
Physical – acute	-0.73%	-1.09%	-18.89%
Physical – chronic	-0.76%	0.12%	-25.44%
Sentiment shock	-	-11.25%	-

An orderly net-zero transition (-1.54%) keeps long-term financial impacts contained. The net-zero financial-crisis scenario (-12.78%) continues to reflect the lasting effects of a severe market shock, with sentiment-driven losses dominating even as physical risks remain comparatively modest. In contrast, failure to transition results in severe losses, with the high-warming scenario falling to -43.85%, driven by escalating chronic and acute physical risks that underline the rising costs of climate inaction.

Note that Clarity AI has recently updated and improved the modelling of the different risk types. Updates to physical risks include alignment to the latest Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) report assumptions (including a 2100 temperature anomaly of 3.7°C instead of 4.3°C for the High Warming scenario), climate-change adaptation, and country-level impacts following the 2023–24 El Niño event. The resulting impacts are an increase in physical risks across geographies and sectors in the High Warming scenario and a decrease in the two Net Zero scenarios. Updates to transition risks include advances in some low-carbon technologies, but less reliance on others, overall potentially resulting in a more muted impact in the two Net Zero scenarios than previous modelling may have suggested.

Data coverage & limitations

Coverage metric	% Data coverage
IFS Fund Value Covered	82.06%

This analysis covers approximately £16 billion of IFS AUM as of December 2025, based on data availability for 82.06% of total AUM.

Regulatory alignment & future developments

In line with FCA requirements, IFS's UK-domiciled funds maintain a dedicated TCFD report.

IFS remains committed to enhancing transparency, refining methodologies and improving carbon metric calculations. As regulatory frameworks evolve and data availability improves, the organisation will continue to expand climate-related financial impact disclosures, ensuring a forward-looking approach to climate risk assessment.

04. Risk management

Disclose how the organisation identifies, assesses and manages climate-related risks

IFS integrates climate-related risk management within its Enterprise Risk Management Framework (ERMF) to ensure a structured, systematic, and effective approach to identifying, assessing and mitigating risks.

For details on specific climate-related risks impacting the business, refer to the Strategy section.

Describe the organisation's processes for identifying and assessing climate-related risks

IFS risk management objectives and policies

IFS's ERMF outlines a comprehensive risk management approach, fostering effective risk ownership within agreed risk appetites across the organisation. Climate-related risks are addressed across enterprise, prudential and investment risk categories, reflecting their dynamic and interdependent nature, which requires a holistic management approach.

Identifying risks

IFS is refining its risk assessment processes to strengthen the identification and evaluation of both physical and transition climate risks and their potential impacts on operations and investments. These enhancements are embedded within the ERMF to ensure consistent risk oversight.

Risk registers

IFS uses a risk register to effectively identify, manage and monitor risks related to operational, prudential and investment exposures.

- ▀ The risk register serves as a structured tool for detecting risks, quantifying potential impacts, and prioritising management efforts.
- ▀ The IFS Risk Team supports departments in maintaining individual risk registers, which undergo formal annual reviews.
- ▀ The register includes controls in place to mitigate risks, alongside pre- and post-control assessments, evaluating both likelihood of occurrence and financial and operational impact.
- ▀ A bottom-up risk identification process is complemented by a top-down strategic evaluation, developed in collaboration with the IFS Board, ensuring a holistic overview of the organisation's risk profile.

IFS is expanding its risk registers to capture climate-related risks across operations and investments. This initiative will extend to supply chains, incorporating insights from regulatory developments and industry best practices.

Assessing impact

Climate-related risks are evaluated based on potential impact and likelihood of occurrence, considering:

- ▀ Financial, operational, customer, regulatory, legal and reputational implications.
- ▀ Direct effects, such as asset degradation and operational disruptions, and indirect effects, including supply chain vulnerabilities and market shifts.
- ▀ Likelihood assessments, factoring in regulatory trends, technological advancements and historical climate data.

Risk appetite

Identified climate-related risks are rated and prioritised in relation to other business risks. Strategic initiatives are aligned to support the low-carbon transition, ensuring mitigation strategies address key climate risks.

Describe the organisation's processes for managing climate-related risks

Risk management and mitigation

IFS applies its ERMF to ensure a structured, systematic approach to managing climate-related risks:

- ▀ Energy-efficient technologies are being adopted to enhance infrastructure resilience and mitigate exposure to physical climate risks, such as extreme weather events.
- ▀ Investment in infrastructure upgrades and supply chain sustainability is helping to strengthen climate adaptation efforts.
- ▀ Compliance with evolving climate-related regulations and industry standards is actively monitored to ensure adherence to legal requirements.

Risk monitoring and reporting

IFS is establishing a structured framework to identify, track and mitigate climate-related risks, ensuring proactive management and strategic decision-making. This framework integrates key risk indicators (KRIs) to provide early warnings, enabling timely intervention and adaptation to evolving climate challenges.

- ▀ Ongoing monitoring supports the early identification of emerging climate risks, enabling proactive mitigation.
- ▀ KRIs provide insights into critical climate-related threats, guiding intervention strategies.
- ▀ Transparent reporting mechanisms ensure stakeholders receive regular updates, including reports to the Board and ExCo.
- ▀ Periodic risk reviews allow IFS to adjust its approach in response to evolving climate trends and regulatory developments.

Describe how the process for identifying, assessing and managing climate-related risks is integrated into the organisation's overall risk management

The following summary describes how IFS is refining its risk management approach to address the impacts of climate change.

For additional details regarding climate-related risks and opportunities affecting the organisation, please refer to the Strategy section of this report.

Strategic risk – medium term

IFS risk definition	Developing our approach
- Incorrect assumptions about the operating environment. - Failure to respond or inappropriate response to material changes in the operating environment. - Failure to understand the potential impact of strategic responses and business plans on existing risks.	- Integrating climate-related considerations into strategic risk management to ensure long-term resilience and competitiveness. - The IFS Board will oversee climate-related risks and opportunities, ensuring their integration into the overall risk management framework. - Climate risks will be incorporated into long-term planning, ensuring alignment with sustainability objectives.

Balance sheet risk – medium term

IFS risk definition	Developing our approach
- Exposure to capital, credit, market and liquidity risks. - IFS's exposure to wider market conditions. - Inability to meet financial obligations in a timely manner (e.g., rising energy and insurance costs due to climate change).	- Integrating climate-related risk assessments into balance sheet management to ensure financial stability and resilience. - Evaluating the financial impact of climate risks on assets and liabilities to maintain a strong, sustainable financial position. - Strengthening financial planning by embedding climate risk considerations into budget forecasting.

Operational risk – short term

IFS risk definition	Developing our approach
▪ Potential losses due to inadequate or failed internal processes, people management, systems or external climate-related events impacting business operations, reputation and profitability. ▪ Oversight of third-party investment processes.	▪ Incorporating climate-related risk assessments into operational risk management to enhance business continuity and resilience. ▪ Strengthening due diligence and monitoring procedures to assess climate-related risks within third-party investment activities.

Conduct risk – short term

IFS risk definition	Developing our approach
▪ Potential investor detriment from failures in product management, distribution or servicing activities. ▪ Risks that could undermine market integrity, distort competition or result in unfair investor outcomes, regulatory censure, reputational damage or financial loss.	▪ Embedding climate-related considerations into IFS's ethical standards and business practices. ▪ Ensuring IFS employees and partners maintain high standards of conduct, reinforcing transparency, integrity, and accountability in climate risk management.

Compliance risk – short term

IFS risk definition	Developing our approach
▪ Failure to comply with legal or regulatory requirements, rules, standards or codes of conduct, leading to reputational damage, sanctions and financial loss. ▪ Key climate-related regulatory requirements include: TCFD reporting, FCA's SDR, anti-greenwashing and regulation and reporting of GHG emissions.	▪ Monitoring regulatory developments to ensure timely integration into IFS's policies and risk controls. ▪ Maintaining proactive compliance processes to meet evolving regulatory expectations.

05. Metrics and targets

Disclose the metrics used by the organisation to assess climate-related risks and opportunities in line with its strategy and risk management process

This section of the report presents a comprehensive analysis of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions associated with IFS's operational activities and in the role of ACD.

Operational emissions metrics

IFS has established key metrics and targets to evaluate and manage climate-related risks and opportunities. In collaboration with CCC Energy, a third-party consultant, IFS conducts an annual assessment of GHG emissions, ensuring a consistent approach to monitoring and reduction efforts across its UK operations.

Core metrics include:

Scope 1: Gas-based heating at Bolton offices, vehicle fuel (tCO₂e*)

Scope 2: Purchased electricity for office use (tCO₂e*)

Scope 3: Fuel for transport and business travel (tCO₂e*)

Additionally, IFS manages and maintains 50 air-conditioning units, with no refrigerant top-ups or leaks recorded during the reporting period.

All emissions are reported in carbon dioxide equivalent (tCO₂e*) to enable standardised comparisons, recognising carbon's significant contribution to climate change.

Investment portfolio metrics

IFS employs Clarity AI's climate module to assess GHG emissions across its funds, incorporating the following key indicators:

- ▀ Weighted average carbon intensity (WACI)
- ▀ Total emissions
- ▀ Carbon intensity / footprint

Disclose Scope 1, Scope 2, and Scope 3 emissions and the related risks

Operational GHG emissions summary

Category	Source	2023 Emissions	2024 Emissions	2025 Emissions	% Change (2023-24)	% Change (2024-25)
Scope 1 (stationary combustion)	Natural gas for office heating	5.89	1.82	-	-69.07%	-100%
Scope 2 Purchased electricity (location-based)	Office electricity	29.48	15.21	8.97	-48.40%	-41.03%
Scope 2 Purchased electricity (market-based)	Office electricity	28.21	10.07	3.99	-64.31%	-60.35%
Scope 1 (mobile combustion)	Vehicle fuel combustion	-	0.40	0.21	100%	-47.82%
Scope 3	Vehicle fuel combustion	9.78	11.81	8.86	20.77%	-24.99%
Scope 1 & 2 (location-based)		35.37	17.44	9.18	-50.70%	-47.35%
Scope 1 & 2 (market-based)		34.10	12.29	4.20	-63.95%	-65.81%
Total (location-based)		45.15	29.25	18.04	-35.22%	-38.32%
Total (market based)		43.88	24.11	13.06	-45.06%	-45.81%
Number of FTE		141	110	75	-22.32%	-31.43%
Scope 1 & Scope 2 intensity per FTE (location based)		0.32	0.27	0.24	-16.61%	-10.05%
Scope 1 & Scope 2 intensity per FTE (market based)		0.31	0.22	0.17	-29.28%	-20.97%

Energy consumption summary

Energy consumption (MwH)	2023	2024	2025	% Change (2023-24)	% Change (2024-25)
Natural gas	32.28	14.84	12.10	-54.02%	-18.45%
Electricity	142.36	73.47	50.68	-48.40%	-31.02%

Analysis of GHG emissions and energy consumption trends

IFS achieved further reductions in greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions in 2025, driven by the reduced operational footprint following the sub-letting of New Marlborough House (NMH) in Bolton, which directly lowered Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions associated with energy use.

Scope 1 emissions from stationary combustion fell to zero, reflecting the end of natural gas use and the full-year effect of no longer occupying NMH in Bolton.

Scope 2 emissions continued to decline. Location-based emissions fell by 41.03%, and market-based emissions decreased by 60.35%. These reductions were driven by lower electricity demand across the reduced footprint and the introduction of REGO-backed electricity contracts.

Scope 3 emissions from vehicle fuel combustion decreased by 24.99%, reversing the increase seen in 2024 as travel activity normalised and reporting accuracy improved through technology-based system enhancements.

Total GHG emissions fell again in 2025, with location-based emissions reducing by 38.32% and market-based emissions by 45.81%. These reductions reflect estate consolidation, the removal of natural gas, strengthened renewable electricity sourcing and lower travel-related emissions.

Financed emissions (Scope 3, Category 15) remained the largest contributor to IFS's overall footprint. Excluding these, purchased electricity continued to represent the largest share of emissions, though at a substantially reduced level.

Scope 1 and Scope 2 emission intensity per full-time equivalent (FTE) employee decreased on a location-based basis, falling by 10.05%, reflecting emissions reductions and a 31.43% decrease in headcount. Market-based intensity decreased by 20.97%, supported by the strengthened renewable electricity position from July 2025. FTEs continue to be defined as employees contracted to work 35 hours per week.

Measures taken to reduce carbon emissions in 2025

IFS advanced its carbon-reduction agenda in 2025 by strengthening existing measures and introducing new initiatives to accelerate progress:

- **ESG governance:** Strengthened ESG governance through the Sustainability Committee, Sustainability Champions and the Group Facilities Coordinator, driving local energy-efficiency actions and embedding sustainable practices across operations.
- **Renewable energy:** Maintained REGO-backed renewable energy procurement and stayed on track to transition all Group utility contracts to REGO electricity and green gas by end-2025.
- **ISO 14001:** Advanced development of the ISO 14001-aligned Environmental Management System and successfully completed the Stage 1 audit.
- **ESOS Phase 3:** Completed and submitted the ESOS Phase 3 action plan and launched a structured programme to track and maintain compliance.
- **ESG training:** Delivered ESG training to all colleagues, with specialist sessions for Sustainability Champions and the Group Facilities Coordinator to build targeted capability.
- **Office footprint:** Continued reviewing and optimising the office footprint to align with the Group's operating model and sustainability goals.

IFS's actions in 2025 reflect its commitment to environmental stewardship, embedding sustainability principles into its operations.

Strengthening the management of Scope 3 emissions, particularly in areas such as travel and supply chain impact, remains a key priority for sustaining progress and achieving long-term reductions.

Investment portfolio emissions (as 31 December 2025)

Carbon emissions metric	Value	Unit	Coverage
Weighted average carbon intensity (WACI) - Scope 1 & 2	80.00	tCO ₂ e / \$m sales	99.89%
Weighted average carbon intensity (WACI) - Scope 3	1,052.50	tCO ₂ e / \$m sales	98.81%
Total emissions Scope 1 & 2	881,655.52	tCO ₂ e	99.73%
Total emissions Scope 3	12,021,235.26	tCO ₂ e	98.78%
Carbon intensity / footprint Scope 1 & 2	38.45	tCO ₂ e / \$m invested	99.73%
Carbon intensity/ footprint Scope 3	529.35	tCO ₂ e / \$m invested	98.78%

For fund-specific emissions data, please refer to the Product TCFD report for each respective fund.

Describe the targets used by the organisation to manage climate-related risks and opportunities and performance against target

Operational targets

IFS is committed to achieving net zero emissions by 2050 (revised from 2040).

Performance against target summary

Emission scopes (tCO₂e)	2023	2024	2025	% Change (2023-24)	% Change (2024-25)
Scopes 1,2 & 3	43.88	24.11	13.06	-45.06%	-45.81%
Scopes 1 & 2	34.10	12.29	4.20	-63.95%	-65.81%

Note: Scope 3 emissions currently account only for business travel

IFS continues to advance its decarbonisation efforts by investing in renewable energy contracts improving energy efficiency and strengthening emissions reporting. Expanding Scope 3 data remains a key priority.

Investment-related targets

IFS has not established formal portfolio emissions targets but is exploring ways to develop methodologies for tracking and monitoring fund-level emissions within its role as ACD. The organisation remains committed to enhancing climate-related transparency and ensuring alignment with emerging regulatory and sustainability standards.

Disclaimer

Whilst every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of reported figures, neither IFS nor its data sources can guarantee absolute precision or completeness. As climate disclosure frameworks evolve, IFS will continue to refine its methodologies and improve emissions reporting integrity, providing stakeholders with reliable and transparent insights.